ARTICLE IN PRESS

Advanced conservative treatment of complete acute rupture of the lateral ankle ligaments: Verifying by stabilometry

Jana Řezaninová[a,*], Luboš Hrazdira[a], Dagmar Moc Králová[a], Zdeněk Svoboda[b], Azriel Benaroya[c]

[a] Faculty of Sports Studies, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia
[b] Faculty of Physical Culture, Palacký University Olomouc, Olomouc, Czechia
[c] Orthopaedics, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

ABSTRACT

Background: The aim of this study was to investigate the result of the specific conservative treatment of acute lateral ankle ligaments rupture and verify the effect of this therapy by stabilometry.
Methods: 17 young athletes were examined after acute lateral ankle sprain (grade III). Diagnosis was based on musculoskeletal ultrasound examinations. Pressure plate evaluated postural stability after conservative treatment at regular intervals during 1 year.
Results: There were no significant differences in postural stability in double-leg stance between limbs. In single-leg stance, COP confidence ellipse ($p = 0.011$) and COP excursion in sagittal plane ($p = 0.000$) were significantly higher for the injured leg when compared with the uninjured leg only one week after removing the cast.
Conclusions: After conservative treatment of grade III injuries with STABHA, immobilization with full weight bearing on the injured leg for 6 weeks and rehabilitation, stabilometry results showed that none of the patients had impaired postural stability or were at risk of functional ankle instability in the monitored period.

© 2016 European Foot and Ankle Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ankle injuries involving the lateral ankle ligaments are among the most common injuries of the musculoskeletal system. These injuries occur during daily activities as well as in sport. Diagnosis and management of these injuries is an almost daily task for physicians, trainers, and physical therapists. Nevertheless, outcomes are often unsatisfactory and experts' opinions on suitable treatment differ.

Ankle ligament injuries are collectively known as ankle sprains, which refer to the mechanism of the injury rather than the degree of the injury. An ankle sprain diagnosis that does not take into account the severity of the injury can lead to treatment protocols with insufficient immobilization and subsequent inadequate individual rehabilitation. The injuries are often disparaged by the patients, athletes in particular.

The lateral ankle ligament complex consists of three ligaments: the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL), the posterior talofibular ligament (PTFL), and the calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) [1,2].

One main function of these ligaments is mechanical stabilization of ankle joints (talocrural and subtalar). Another important function of these ligaments is their role in joint proprioception. Histological studies show that the ligaments contain mechanoreceptors that have nerve endings: Pacinian corpules, Golgi tendon organs, and Ruffini endings. They provide kinesthesia and statesthesia, causing activation or inhibition of muscular activities. When ligaments are strained, they invoke neurological feedback signals that then activate muscular contraction [3,4].

Ankle sprains are classified into three grades. In grade I, the ligament is stretched without tearing; in grade II, the ligament is partially torn and has minimal laxity, in grade III, there is complete rupture of the ligament with instability of the joint [5]. Severity of secondary changes (e.g. swelling, hemorrhage), however, often does not correspond to the grade of injury.

Various diagnostic procedures have been used to determine and assess ligament injury (ultrasonography, radiography, magnetic resonance imaging). Ultrasonography has been shown to be a useful diagnostic modality for ankle injuries given the superficial

nature of the ankle soft tissues and the ability to perform dynamic stress tests (e.g., anterior drawer test, talar tilt test) [6].

Although ruptures of the lateral ankle ligaments occur often, treatment selection remains controversial. Management strategies for acute ruptures of lateral ankle ligaments can be divided into immobilization, surgical treatment, and functional treatment.

In the acute phase, ankle sprains are associated with pain and loss of function. The main treatment goal during this phase is to control pain and swelling. The optimal treatment remains protection, rest, ice, compression, and elevation (PRICE). Hyaluronic acid is a new therapeutic method in ankle sprain injuries.

Biological ligament healing can be divided into three different phases: (1) inflammatory phase (until 10 days after trauma), (2) the proliferation phase (4th–8th week) and (3) the remodeling or maturation phase (until 1 year after trauma). The duration of the different phases may individually vary. During the proliferation phase, the tissue responds with vascular ingrowth, fibroblast proliferation and new collagen formation. Protection of inversion is important during this phase of healing to prevent excess formation of weaker type III collagen formation that can contribute to chronic elongation of the ligament [7].

Incomplete healing of the ligament tissue results in ligament laxity, predisposing the joint to further injury. This cycle of ligament injury and subsequent laxity causes joint instability, which then leads to chronic pain, diminished function, and, ultimately, to osteoarthritis of the affected joint [4,8]. Correct treatment in the acute phase is important to prevent both the persistence of symptoms and the development of chronic ankle instability.

Chronic ankle instability can be subdivided into mechanical and functional instability. Mechanical instability is caused by posttraumatic ligament laxity and characterized by ankle joint mobility beyond normal range of motion, which is identified by positive anterior drawer test, talar tilt test, or both tests [2,9]. Functional instability refers to repeated sprains and/or the feeling of the ankle "giving way" [10,11]. Factors suggested as causes of this disability include mechanical instability of the talocrural joint, impaired proprioception, and incoordination of dynamic stabilizers of the ankle (peroneal muscles). One manifestation of incomplete healing and functional instability is change in postural stability after these injuries in double- and single-leg stance [12,13]. Stabilometry is an objective method for the quantitative study of postural equilibrium and has previously been shown to correlate to functional instability of the ankle joint [14].

The goal of this study was to investigate the results of a specific conservative treatment of acute lateral ankle ligament rupture and to verify the effectiveness of this therapy by stabilometry.

2. Materials and methods

After obtaining institutional review board approval, a prospective study was conducted of patients who experienced acute lateral ankle sprain (grade III) between 2013 and 2015.

All subjects signed an informed consent before being enrolled in the study.

Thirty-five patients whose symptoms indicated potential grade III ankle ligament injury (complete rupture of ATFL and CFL) were physically examined by a senior sports orthopedic surgeon. Exclusion criteria for this study consisted of the following: previous ankle injury, systemic or generalized disorder affecting the locomotor system, constitutional ligamentous laxity, fracture, or syndesmosis injuries. Inclusion criteria were acute lateral ankle sprain (grade III) confirmed via ultrasonography and minimum sports participation of 60 min, 4 times per week. Eighteen patients were excluded from the study. Seventeen of the 35 young athletes who were examined were eligible to be included in this study. Basic characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. Sports played by these athletes included football (n = 6), volleyball (n = 5), gymnastics (n = 3), and running (n = 3).

After clinical examination and within 48 h after the injury, ultrasonography was used to examine the injured and uninjured (contralateral) ankle of each patient. The ankles were examined in held-forced positions (anterior drawer test) under local anesthesia. Administering local anesthesia is important to reduce pain and relax the muscles. Musculoskeletal ultrasound scans were obtained in dorsal and ventrolateral cuts for the injured ankle and the uninjured (contralateral) ankle. The distance between the posterior rim of the tibia and talus was measured for each ankle. Then the distance was measured between the apex fibula and the edge of the calcaneus for each ankle. Ventrolateral cuts were obtained to evaluate the instability of the talocrural and subtalar joints (Figs. 1 and 2). To diagnose the ligament tear as being a complete tear, the difference between the injured and uninjured ankle had to be greater than 3 mm in both the dorsal and ventrolateral cuts (Ernst and Hrazdira approach to identify talocrural and subtalar instability [15,16]).

The first step of the treatment was performing an administration of hyaluronic acid (Soft Tissue Adapted Biocompatible Hyaluronic Acid [STABHA]) under ultrasound control directly to the region of the injured ligaments according to aseptic rules. Then all injured ankles were immobilized with rigid ankle plaster walking casts for 6 weeks (Fig. 3). Before cast application, synthetic wadding was placed from the distal third of the tibia to the middle of the metatarsal bones. The wadding was properly formed, especially above the talocrural joint and arch of the foot. Full weight-bearing was allowed. After 6 weeks, the cast was removed and patients began a 3-week individual rehabilitation program with neuromuscular training. Early functional rehabilitation of the ankle included range-of-motion (ROM) exercises, isometric and isotonic strength-training exercises, and proprioception-training exercises. The active ankle range of motion (ROM) was measured before and after rehabilitation (plantar and dorsal flexion in the sitting position with the knee flexed to 90°) (Table 2). To measure ROM was used a goniometer. During the final stages of rehabilitation, the focus shifted to sport-specific activities to prepare the athlete for return to sport. All participants returned to full sports activities 8 weeks after initial acute injury.

The changes in postural stability after conservative treatment in double- and single-leg stance were investigated at 6 weeks (day of cast removal), 7 weeks (1 week after cast removal), 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year after the acute injury for both the injured and uninjured ankle by stabilometry. The difference between the injured and uninjured leg was measured by FootWorkPro forceplate (49 by 64.5 cm) (AmCube UK, Ascot, United Kingdom).

Table 1
Basic characteristics of patients.

	Sex	Mean age ± SD (years)	Mean height ± SD (cm)	Mean weight ± SD (kg)
Acute ankle injury (Grade III)	Men (n = 8)	27.0 ± 6.9	192.9 ± 4.9	89.9 ± 9.8
	Women (n = 9)	23.4 ± 6.2	167.7 ± 8.6	59.8 ± 9.2
	Group (n = 17)	25.1 ± 6.8	179.5 ± 14.5	73.9 ± 17.8

SD, standard deviation.

Fig. 1. Acute lateral ankle sprain (A) in neutral position and (B) in held-forced position (anterior drawer test). The line depicts the distance between the posterior rim of the tibia and talus (dorsal Ernst approach).


Fig. 2. Acute lateral ankle sprain (A) in neutral position and (B) in held-forced position (anterior drawer test). The line depicts the distance between the apex fibula and the edge of the calcaneus (ventrolateral Hrazdira approach).

Table 2
Ankle range of motion.

Motion	Before rehabilitation	After rehabilitation
	Mean (degree ± SD)	Mean (degree ± SD)
Dorsiflexion	12.1 ± 5.2	16.8 ± 2.9
Plantarflexion	25.9 ± 9.1	38.8 ± 6.3

SD, standard deviation.

The values of center of pressure (COP) excursions in the frontal and sagittal planes, 95% confidence ellipse (area—covered 95% of the points of the COP diagram), were calculated during 30 s trial of static double-leg stance and three separate 10 s trials of static

Fig. 3. Rigid ankle plaster walking cast for lateral acute ankle sprain (grade III).

single-leg stance. The postural sway was obtained for both the injured and uninjured leg at the same intervals described above for the postural stability measurements.

Six months after the injury, controlled musculoskeletal ultrasound examination was performed under local anesthesia with the ankles in held-forced positions (anterior drawer test).

2.1. Statistics

Statistica 10 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK) and Excel 2010 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) were used for the statistical calculations. A normality test (Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests) was used to determine if a dataset was well-modeled by a normal distribution. Fisher post hoc procedure (analysis of variance) was used to identify specific differences in postural stability between the limbs. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Double-leg stance

We found no statistically significant difference in postural sway at 6 weeks, 7 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 1-year follow-up when the injured leg was compared to the uninjured leg. The results are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

3.2. One-leg stance

After our conservative therapy, all patients were able to perform a one-leg stance test immediately after cast removal. We found no statistically significant difference in postural stability at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 1-year follow-up when the injured leg was compared to the uninjured leg (p > 0.05). The results are presented in Tables 5 and 6, Figs. 4–6.

Table 3
Stabilometry results of the double-leg stance test.

Measurement	Limb	Duration of time after injury									
		6 weeks		7 weeks		3 months		6 months		1 year	
		Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD
Area COP [cm²]	Injured	0.82	0.72	1.11	1.24	1.48	1.44	0.83	0.62	1.25	0.76
	Uninjured	1.13	0.81	1.28	0.92	1.80	1.22	0.92	0.58	1.08	0.99
AP excursion [cm]	Injured	2.07	0.84	2.46	1.05	2.80	1.65	2.12	0.78	2.82	1.14
	Uninjured	2.58	1.07	2.51	0.91	3.04	1.26	2.31	0.82	1.96	1.10
ML excursion [cm]	Injured	0.43	0.23	0.52	0.35	0.54	0.24	0.47	0.11	0.55	0.25
	Uninjured	0.51	0.18	0.57	0.25	0.69	0.26	0.45	0.18	0.63	0.48

AP, anteroposterior; COP, center of pressure; ML, mediolateral; SD, standard deviation.

Table 4
Results of Fisher post hoc test: double-leg stance test.

Measurement	Duration of time after injury				
	6 weeks	7 weeks	3 months	6 months	1 year
Area COP [cm^2]	0.301	0.580	0.274	0.750	0.692
AP excursion [cm]	0.084	0.882	0.427	0.520	0.050
ML excursion [cm]	0.341	0.591	0.075	0.819	0.495

AP, anteroposterior; COP, center of pressure; ML, mediolateral.

Table 6
Results of Fisher post hoc test: single-leg stance test.

Measurement	Duration of time after injury				
	6 weeks	7 weeks	3 months	6 months	1year
Area COP	0.158	0.011*	0.337	0.729	0.364
AP excursion	0.061	0.000*	0.956	0.714	0.083
ML excursion	0.995	0.700	0.234	0.852	0.807

AP, anteroposterior; COP, center of pressure; ML, mediolateral.
* $p < 0.05$.

Only 1 week after cast removal (7 weeks after injury) COP confidence ellipse (p = 0.011) and COP excursions in the sagittal plane (p = 0.000) were significantly higher for the injured leg than for the uninjured leg in one-leg stance. During the week after cast removal, individual rehabilitation with neuromuscular proprioceptive training continued.

It is important to note that during the first week after cast removal, the patients began individual rehabilitation programs that included proprioception-training exercises. All participants returned to full sports activities 8 weeks after initial acute injury.

3.3. Ultrasound examination

Six months after the injury, controlled ultrasound examinations found that all 17 ankles had complete healing of the injured ligaments and had improved stability in held-forced positions (anterior drawer test) under local anesthesia. The difference between the injured and uninjured ankle was less than 3 mm in both the dorsal and ventrolateral cuts.

4. Discussion

Adequate treatment of lateral ankle ligaments rupture is important for prevention of repetitive ankle sprains and chronic instability symptoms. An exact timeline of ankle ligament healing cannot be provided; however, improvements in mechanical stability have not been observed until at least 6 weeks to 3 months after the injury [17]. Patients often return to activity before the injured ligaments of the ankle have healed completely.

Protection of the injured ligaments during the proliferation phase is required. In this phase of healing, mobilization too early in inversion leads to more prolonged type III collagen formation with weaker healing of tissue and lengthening of the injured ligaments [2]. Approximately 4–8 weeks after the injury, the new collagen fibers begin to withstand almost normal stress. Final maturation and remodeling of the injured ligaments takes a long time—from 6 to 12 months [2]. Although ligament healing mainly occurs between 6 weeks and 3 months following injury, a large proportion of patients still have objective mechanical laxity and subjective ankle instability up to 1 year after injury [17]. After conservative treatment, ultrasound examinations performed under local anesthesia with ankles in held-forced positions (anterior drawer


Fig. 4. Center of pressure (COP) excursion measurements in the frontal plane obtained in a single-leg stance. The bars on the graph represent standard deviations.

test) demonstrated that all participants in our study had complete healing of the injured ligaments and improved ankle stability at 1-year follow-up.

Similar ultrasound examination technique is reported also by others authors [18,19].

Many studies and systematic literature reviews have compared surgery, functional and immobilization treatment, and various methods of immobilization for acute ankle sprains [7,17,20–27]. In our opinion, the classification and diagnosis of the ankle sprain is important for the validity of the study. We chose to classify the severity of the ankle sprain using ultrasonography and to assess postural stability control with stabilometry. Conservative treatment in our study was based on STABHA, immobilization, and individual rehabilitation.

Viscosupplementation of hyaluronic acid to stabilize synovial fluid chemistry and improve its functional quality is now a popular therapeutic method. The therapeutic efficacy of STABHA-containing preparations has been documented in clinical trials for common musculoskeletal disorders and injuries, including ankle sprain. STABHA has been specifically designed to interact optimally

Table 5
Stabilometry results of the single-leg stance test.

Measurement	Limb	Duration of time after injury									
		6 weeks		7 weeks		3 months		6 months		1 year	
		Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD
Area COP [cm^2]	Injured	5.51	2.28	5.88	2.06	4.74	1.28	3.94	1.35	3.31	0.88
	Uninjured	5.00	2.02	4.73	1.64	4.32	1.25	4.09	1.56	3.90	1.44
AP excursion [cm]	Injured	3.42	0.95	3.51	0.99	3.04	0.67	2.75	0.63	2.47	0.36
	Uninjured	3.12	0.89	2.85	0.55	3.03	0.55	2.81	0.49	2.93	0.81
ML excursion [cm]	Injured	2.05	0.53	2.07	0.42	2.01	0.33	1.85	0.42	1.70	0.30
	Uninjured	2.07	0.51	2.11	0.37	1.89	0.30	1.83	0.39	1.66	0.28

AP, anteroposterior; COP, center of pressure; ML, mediolateral; SD, standard deviation.

Fig. 5. Confidence ellipse (area) of COP measurements obtained in a single-leg stance. The bars on the graph represent standard deviations.


Fig. 6. Center of pressure (COP) excursion measurements in the sagittal plane obtained in a single-leg stance. The bars on the graph represent standard deviations.

with soft-tissue ligaments due to its purity profile and biocompatibility. STABHA treatment is associated with reduced pain and more rapid return to sport, with few associated adverse events. Histologically, STABHA promotes healing without scar tissue [28–31].

We decided to apply plaster walking casts instead of giving the patients rigid braces (air walkers) because the casts ensured that the joint would be stabilized in a neutral position continuously for 6 weeks. Removing a brace every night could lead to prolonged recovery.

The ankle has a central role for postural control. Alterations in postural control could be attributed to sensorimotor deficits in the afferent input arising from mechanoreceptors in ankle ligaments and capsule (articular deafferentation) after acute ankle sprain. Postural stability is an important component in maintaining an upright position and in maintaining balance during normal daily movements and activities. In sport, problems with balance may lead to serious injuries [32]. Thus, postural stability has important implications in sports and rehabilitation.

Multiple studies [12,13,32–36] have shown that bipedal and one-leg static COP measures on stable force plates may be used as a reliable tool for investigating general postural stability and balance performance in athletes after ankle sprain and for identifying deficits associated with an increased risk of ankle sprain. We wanted to compare the stabilometry results of our research with other studies, but we did not find any reports in the literature that could be directly compared. Although some authors have evaluated postural stability after acute lateral ankle ligament injury using stance test, often these reports did not include the grade of injury or therapy specifications.

5. Conclusion

The success of conservative treatment of complete anterolateral ankle ligaments tear always depends on patient compliance. After our advanced conservative treatment, stabilometry results showed that none of the patients had impaired postural stability or were at risk of functional ankle instability in the monitored period.

Ultrasound examinations performed in held-forced positions (anterior drawer test) demonstrated that all participants in our study had complete healing of the injured ligaments and improved ankle stability at 1-year follow-up.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Matharu GS, Najran PS, Porter KM. Soft-tissue ankle injuries. Trauma 2010;12(2):105–15.
[2] Renström P, Konradsen L. Ankle ligament injuries. Sports Med 1997;31(1):11–20.
[3] Frank CB. Ligaments structure, physiology and function. J Musculoskelet Neuron Interact 2004;4(2):199–201.
[4] Hauser RA, Dolan EE, Phillips HJ, Newlin AC, Moore RE, Woldin BA. Ligament injury and healing: a review of current clinical diagnostics and therapeutics. Open Rehab J 2013;6(1):1–20.
[5] Cass JR, Morrey BF. Ankle instability: current concepts, diagnosis and treatment. Mayo Clin Proc 1984;59(3):165–70.
[6] Khoury V. Ultrasound of ankle and foot: overuse and sports injuries. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol 2007;11(2):149–62.
[7] Petersen W, Rembitzki IV, Koppenburg AG, Ellermann A, Liebau C, Brüggemann GP, et al. Treatment of acute ankle ligaments injuries: a systematic review. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2013;133(8):1129–41.
[8] Valderrabano V, Hinteramann B, Horisberger M. Ligamentous posttraumatic ankle osteoarthritis. Am J Sports Med 2006;34(4):612–20.
[9] Croy T, Saliba S, Saliba E, Anderson MW, Hertel J. Differences in lateral ankle laxity measured via stress ultrasonography in individuals with chronic ankle instability, ankle sprain coppers, and healthy individuals. J Northup Sports Phys Ther 2012;42(7):593–600.
[10] Delahunt E. Neuromuscular contributions to functional instability of the ankle joint. J Bodyw Mov Ther 2007;11(3):203–13.
[11] Freeman MA. Instabilities of the foot after ligament injuries of the ankle. J Bone Joint Surg 1965;47(4):669–77.
[12] Cornwall MW, Murrell P. Postural sway following inversion sprain of the ankle. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 1991;81(5):243–7.
[13] Hertel J, Buckley WE, Denegar CR. Serial testing of postural control after acute lateral ankle sprain. J Athl Train 2001;36(4):363–7.
[14] Tropp H, Ekstrand J, Gillquist J. Factors affecting stabilometry recordings of single limb stance. Am J Sports Med 1984;12(3):185–8.
[15] Ernst R, Grifka J, Gritzan R, Kemen M, Weber A. Sonographische Kontrolle des Aussenbandapparates am oberen Sprunggelenk bei der frischen Bandruptur und chronischen Bandinstabilitat. Z Ortop 1990;12:525–30.
[16] Hrazdira L, Sín A. Diagnostics and treatment of anterolateral rotational instability of the ankle in sportsmen. Sports Traumatol 1992;33:103–7.
[17] Hubbard TJ, Hicks-Little CA. Ankle ligaments healing after an acute ankle sprain: an evidence-based approach. J Athl Train 2008;43(5):523–9.
[18] Kerkhoffs GM, Blankevoort L, van Dijk CN. A measurement device for anterior laxity of the ankle joint complex. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2005;20(2):218–22.
[19] Croy T, Koppenhaver S, Saliba S, Hertel J. Anterior talocrural joint laxity: diagnostic accuracy of the anterior drawer test of the ankle. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2013;43(12):911–9.
[20] Ardèvol J, Bolíbar I, Belda V, Argilaga S. Treatment of complete rupture of the lateral ligaments of the ankle: a randomized clinical trial comparing cast immobilization with functional treatment. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2002;10(6):371–7.
[21] Kannus P, Renström P. Treatment for acute tears of the lateral ligaments of the ankle. Operation, cast, or early controlled mobilization. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1991;73(2):305–12.

[22] Karlsson J, Bergsten T, Lansinger O. Surgical treatment of chronic lateral instability of the ankle joint: a new procedure. Am J Sports Med 1989;17 (2):268–73.

[23] Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Rowe BH, Assendelft WJJ, Kelly KD, Struijs PAA, Van Dijk CN. Immobilization for acute ankle sprain. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2001;121 (8):462–71.

[24] Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Struis PA, Marki RK, Assendelft WJJ, Blankevoort L, Van Dijk CN. Different functional treatment strategies for acute lateral ankle ligament injuries in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002;(3):CD002938.

[25] Munk B, Holm-Christensen K, Lind T. Long-term outcome after ruptured lateral ankle ligaments: a prospective study of three different treatments in 79 patients with 11-year follow-up. Acta Orthop Scand 1995;66(5):452–4.

[26] White WJ, McCollum GA, Calder JDF. Return to sport following acute lateral ligament repair of the ankle in professional athletes. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2016;24(4):1124–9.

[27] Pijnenburg AC, Van Dijk CN, Bossuyt PM, Marti RK. Treatment of rupture of the lateral ankle ligaments: a meta-analysis. J Bone Joint Surg 2000;82(6):761–73.

[28] Tomaszewski W. Is the use of STABHA^{TM} for supplementation of damaged extracellular matrix of soft tissues in the musculoskeletal system an effective treatment of acute injuries and tendinopathies? Ortop Traumatol Rehabil 2015;17(1):99–104.

[29] Petrella RJ, Petrella MJ, Cogliano A. Periarticular hyaluronic acid in acute ankle sprain. Clin J Sport Med 2007;17(4):251–7.

[30] Petrella MJ, Cogliano A, Petrella RJ. Long-term efficacy and safety of periarticular hyaluronic acid in acute ankle sprain. Phys Sportsmed 2009;36 (2):1–8.

[31] Jakobs C, Wirbel R, Korner J. Influence of hyaluronic acid on the clinical course of ankle sprains. Clin Sport Med Int (CSMI) 2015;8:1–5.

[32] McGuine TA, Greene JJ, Best T, Leverson G. Balance as a predictor of ankle injuries in high school basketball players. Clin J Sport Med 2000;10(4):239–44.

[33] Huumink A, Fransz DP, Kingma I, Verhansen EALM, Van Dieën JH. Postural stability and ankle sprain history in athletes compared to uninjured controls. Clin Biomech 2014;29(5):183–8.

[34] Ross SE, Guskiewicz KM. Examination of static and dynamic postural stability in individuals with functionally stable and unstable ankles. Clin J Sport Med 2004;14(6):332–8.

[35] Ross SE, Guskiewicz KM, Gross MT, Yu B. Balance measures for discriminating between functionally unstable and stable ankles. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2009;41(2):399–407.

[36] Ruhe A, Fejer R, Walker B. The test-retest reliability of center of pressure measures in bipedal static task conditions—a systematic review of the literature. Gait Posture 2010;32(4):436–45.